UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/828,759	04/21/2004	Michael J. Lonsway	G0003/7254	5351
	7590 06/02/200 BSE HENDRICKS & O	EXAMINER		
100 Cambridge		WEAVER, SUE A		
Suite 2101 BOSTON, MA 02114			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3781	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/02/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/828,759	LONSWAY, MICHAEL J.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Sue A. Weaver	3781				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 D</u>	ecember 2007					
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	action is non-final.					
· -		esecution as to the merits is				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims	,					
· <u> </u>	the application					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,4-12,24 and 25</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) <u>1,2,4-12,24 and 25</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers	•					
··· _						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accompared		Evaminor				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	. /— ,					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	• , ,	, ,				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	animor. Note the diagoned emee	7 (6.16) 7 (7.16)				
<u>-</u>		(4) - :: (5)				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.2.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate				
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	акент Аррисация				

Application/Control Number: 10/828,759 Page 2

Art Unit: 3781

1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 1, 2, 4-6, 8, 12, 24 and 25 are again rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by or in the alternative under 35 USC 103 as obvious over either Marco '096 or Suzuki et al JP '823, both cited by applicant.

Both Marco and Suzuki et al teach attachment of a neck finish ring to a container neck with radial expansion of the neck, as claimed. Note the discussion by both Marco and Suzuki et al of the ring functioning as the mold surface with both containers being blow molded. Note also the showing in Figure 5 and 6 of Suzuki et al where the inner diameter of the preform neck is radially expanded against the ring.

Members 15 form spaced beads or lugs on Marco received in recesses 21 on the ring. Note also the ribs and grooves shown in Figures 4-6 and also the formations 24 in Figure 7 of Suzuki et al.

2. Claim 7 is again rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the references as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Taylor, Jr. '704, of record.

To have provided the neck or ring with a knurl for retention in the manner taught by Taylor, Jr. at 23 would have been obvious.

3. Claims 9-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the reference as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Yoshino et al '046, of record.

Application/Control Number: 10/828,759 Page 3

Art Unit: 3781

To have oriented the neck portion with a member forming an anvil in the manner of Yoshio et al would have been obvious.

- 4. Applicant's arguments filed 12/7/07 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Contrary to applicant's arguments blow molding of the neck by Marco inherently results in radial expansion when the material of the neck is forced into the thread formations of the ring. In similar manner Suzuki et al specifically discusses the radial draw forming of the neck again resulting in and inherent radial expansion.

 Applicant appears to challenge the fact that the inner portion or diameter of the neck isn't expanded with blow molding although applicant has failed to show any evidence to the contrary. This appears to be more in the nature of opinion. Surely applicant is aware of the expansion of the neck and inner diameter during blow molding processes. Such is well-known in the art and demonstrated by Beck et al '791 (see 13) and Rosenkranz et al '060 (see 15). Furthermore which biaxial orientation blow molding against the ring functioning as a part of the mold as suggested by Marco and clearly demonstrated by Suzuki et al, the inner diameter of the neck would inherently be radially expanded. Claims 3 and 13-23 have been canceled.
- 5. The following are suggested formats for either a Certificate of Mailing or Certificate of Transmission under 37 CFR 1.8(a). The certification may be included with all correspondence concerning this application or proceeding to establish a date of mailing or transmission under 37 CFR 1.8(a). Proper use of this procedure will result in such communication being considered as timely if the established date is within the required period for reply. The Certificate should be signed by the individual actually depositing or transmitting the correspondence or by an individual who, upon information and belief, expects the correspondence to be mailed or transmitted in the normal course of business by another no later than the date indicated.

Art Unit: 3781

Certificate of Mailing

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to:

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450	
on (Date)	
Typed or printed name of person signing this certificate:	
Signature:	
Registration Number:	
Certificate of Transmission	
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted Trademark Office, Fax No. () on (Date)	to the United States Patent and
Typed or printed name of person signing this certificate:	
Signature:	
Registration Number:	

Please refer to 37 CFR 1.6(d) and 1.8(a)(2) for filing limitations concerning facsimile transmissions and mailing, respectively.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sue A. Weaver whose telephone number is (571) 272-4548. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday from 5:30 to 4.

Application/Control Number: 10/828,759 Page 5

Art Unit: 3781

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor is Anthony Stashick. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Sue A. Weaver/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3781